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Deltares – general introduction

- Deltares is an independent research institute for delta technology, incorporating advanced expertise on water, soil and subsurface issues
- Main office in Delft and Utrecht (The Netherlands)
- About 850 employees
- Research (50%) and consultancy (50%)
- NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION
Why estimating Risks?

- Hazard and risk quantification and mapping are the basis to reduce risks (i.e. where are the highest risks? What to do to reduce risks?)
- Development of adaptive planning strategies
- Assess the effectiveness of different strategies
- Connect long-term options to short-term decisions
Hazards = Physical aspects of risks
Exposure and vulnerability = Socio-economic aspects

(Kron, 2005)
How data and models can be used in risk planning

Models  Data

Model improvements and data availability (e.g. at global scale) can support carrying out these assessments
Case study: multi-hazard and risk assessment for Ebeye

Population ≈12,000 inhabitants
The Marshall Islands: with 1225 reef islands and a mean elevation of + 2 m above mean sea level, one of the most vulnerable country in the world to the impact of natural disasters and climate change

- Flooding
- Land loss and coastal erosion
Four major steps

1. Assessment hydro-meteorological events

2. Impacts and risk-assessment

3. Prioritization areas of interventions

4. Conceptual design of solutions and cost-estimate

- 6 m² retaining wall
- 7.5 m² cubes (25% porosity)
- 7.6 m² 1-3t rock
- 9.9 m² 0.3-1t rock/ selected QR
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• 1. Assessment hydro-meteorological events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 2. Risk assessment (present and future scenario’s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 3. Prioritization areas of intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 4. Conceptual design and preliminary cost-estimate of possible interventions for coastal protection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data collection

- Bathymetry
- Island topography
- Sea bed characteristics (i.e. bottom friction)
- Wind
- Waves (offshore and on the reef)
- Water levels (offshore and on the reef)
- Flooding maps after extreme events
- Exposure data (number of assets and values)
- Damage reports after extreme events
- Information on other indirect damages (e.g. socio-economic)

Global data

Local data
For example: bathymetry
For example: DEM
Hydro-meteorological events assessed

- Water levels (tides, storm surges and ENSO effects)
- Swell waves
- Wind waves from the lagoon
- Typhoons
- Tsunami’s
- Sea level rise

Analysis for different return periods and time horizons
Example: typhoons

- Based on IBTrACKS Database (NOAA) (1945 – present)
Example: typhoons

- Based on Delft3D-FLOW and WAVE model of all tropical storms
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From offshore to nearshore: hazards

Measured & Delft3D

XBeach

Depth-damage curves: damages

‘Simple Coast ‘ tools: loss of land

$ $$

Deltares
Hazard modelling – flooding over the island

- Modelling of flooding for each separate hazard
- Combination of hazards into one flooding map (max inundation at each cell for each return period)

Flooding map current situation
RP = 10 years

Flooding map 2100 RCP 8.5
RP = 10 years
Impact modelling: flooding over the island

- Calibration: settings based on Quataert et al. (2015)
- Validation: hydrodynamics in line with Gawhen et al. (2016)
Depth-damage curve from American Samoa + 10% indirect damages + 10% intangible damages
Risks (Expected Annual Damages)

**RCP 4.5**

- **Inundation**
- **Erosion**

**EAD due to inundation and erosion [million/year]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time horizon</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2050</th>
<th>2100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Area-averaged EAD to prioritize intervention

EAD presented for 4 time horizons for RCP 8.5
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Conceptual design: what?

Our recommended solution: revetment with berm, with single-layer cubes

* costs per m + based on Tonkin + Taylor (2016)
Adaptation strategies: where?

- Coastal defense types and location

  - **USD: 14k***
    - +4.3m
    - 7.5 m² cubes (25% porosity)
    - 7.6 m² 1-3t rock
    - 6 m² retaining wall
    - 9.9 m² 0.3-1t rock or selected QR

  - **USD: 16k***
    - +4m
    - 11.4 m² concrete cubes
    - 6.0 m² 1-3t rock
    - 4 m² retaining wall
    - 21 m² 0.3-1t rock or selected quarry run

  * costs per m + based on Tonkin + Taylor (2016)
Alternative 3 – 4 are the most cost-effective.
Long-term adaptive planning

The presented solutions mainly aim at reducing hazards.

Other ways of reducing risks (example):

- Reduction in vulnerability (e.g. elevating houses near lagoon)
- Reduction in exposure (e.g. volunteering relocation towards other islands)
- Improvement current early warning systems on the island

The same framework can be used to assess the effectiveness of those types of adaptation solutions also.

(Hess et al., 2015)
To conclude

- The presented methodology can be used to:
  - Quantify the effects of multiple hazards and risks
  - Identify areas where risks are higher
  - Assess the effectiveness of different adaptation options
  - Assess how risks may change in time due to climate change
  - Assess whether proposed solutions are “climate proof”

For simple assessment of problems and solutions visit: [www.simplecoast.com](http://www.simplecoast.com)
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Ideas for the future:

1) Improve formulations for hazard prediction due to hurricanes

Nederhoff et al. (in preparation)
Ideas for the future:

2) Global hazard and risk assessment for small islands

3) Sustainable sources of aggregates for coral reef islands

4) Trainings and capacity building
Thank you!