

9th Meeting of the Consultative Group

Day 2: October 7, 2010 at 14:00 hrs
Colonial Meeting Room, Mayflower Renaissance Hotel
Washington DC, USA

GFDRR Results-based Disaster Risk Reduction

GFDRR Results Framework Progress & Developments over May-September 2010

Saroj Kumar Jha
Ayaz Parvez



GFDRR
Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery

GFDRR is able to help developing countries reduce their vulnerability to natural disasters and adapt to climate change, thanks to the continued support of its partners: ACP Secretariat, Australia, Bangladesh, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Denmark, European Commission, Finland, France, Germany, Haiti, India, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Malawi, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Spain, South Africa, South Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States, Vietnam, Yemen, IFRC, UNDP, UN/International Strategy for Disaster Reduction and The World Bank.

Key Decisions from CG and RMC Meetings in May 2010

- **Results Management Council (RMC)’s and Consultative Group (CG)’s **Endorsement** of the Results Monitoring/Evaluation Approach**
- **CG and RMC advice to GFDRR to take a more cautious and staged approach:**
 - **Shortening and Rationalization of the RF in Stage 1**
 - **Maintaining the originally proposed “results-chain”**
 - **Gradually and subsequently expanding the RF**



Process for Shortening and Rationalization of Results Framework

- Track-wise **Shortening & Rationalization** of Long List of Indicators entailing Extensive Analytical Work
- **Independent** Review of RF by 3 M&E Experts
- Deepening of Dialogue with ISDR over building **complementarities** between GFDRR RF and HFA Monitoring
- Submission of Revised Draft RF to CG and RMC for prior review – **comments received from Japan being incorporated**
- Review & Endorsement of Revised RF in September RMC Meeting – **comments received from RMC members being incorporated**



GFDRR

Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery

9th Meeting of the Consultative Group, Oct. 4-7, 2010

GFDRR's Revised Results Framework

GFDRR is able to help developing countries reduce their vulnerability to natural disasters and adapt to climate change, thanks to the continued support of its partners: ACP Secretariat, Australia, Bangladesh, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Denmark, European Commission, Finland, France, Germany, Haiti, India, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Malawi, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Spain, South Africa, South Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States, Vietnam, Yemen, IFRC, UNDP, UN/International Strategy for Disaster Reduction and The World Bank.

Major Overall Improvements in Revised RF

- **Reduction in number of results indicators**
 - from **23 to 13** Program-level Outcome indicators
 - from **49 to 17** Intermediate Outcome indicators
- **Rationalization and restructuring of qualitative indicators**
- **Application of plausibility checks to ensure improved measurability and attribution to GFDRR**
- **Indicators based on specific HFA priorities introduced in RF**
- **Closer coordination proposed with WBG's RF for Climate action/Climate Investment – raising possibilities of shared DRR/CC outcome indicators**

*Major Improvements in **Track I** Indicators*

- Program Outcomes reduced from **5 to 2**; intermediate outcome indicators from **13 to 5**
- Input-oriented Indicators improved to capture qualitative dimensions and clearer attribution to GFDRR interventions attempted:
 - ✓ Increased and Improved GFDRR sponsored regional cooperation for DRR:
 - % increase in the number of regional cooperation mechanisms for DRR facilitated by GFDRR
 - Extent of Qualitative Improvements brought by these mechanisms
- **Japan's recent feedback being incorporated in RF, including:**
 - Measuring specific global and regional contributions towards DRR;
 - Strengthened GFDRR partnership and collaboration with international stakeholders

*Major Improvements in **Track II** Indicators*

- Program Outcomes reduced from **8 to 4**; intermediate outcome indicators from **19 to 8**
- Some indicators made more specific to ensure measurability of GFDRR contributions – such as on:
 - **‘systematic risk assessments’** in priority countries
 - **‘safer schools and hospitals** in priority countries
- Intermediate outcome indicator being added on **‘countries generating economic evidence for DRR with GFDRR support’** on **Japan’s suggestion**
- Indicators on advancement of **risk financing** mechanisms and tools being improved on **RMC suggestions**

*Major Improvements in **Track III** Indicators*

- **Program Outcomes reduced from 5 to 3; intermediate outcome indicators from 17 to 4**
- **Indicators on client country satisfaction with PDNAs replaced with alternate indicators - based on reviewers' comments**
- **Following Indicators being improved on RMC suggestions:**
 - **Adoption of PDNAs/DALAs as common strategic and financing platforms across multiple sectors and stakeholders**
 - **Recovery programs leading to creation or strengthening of dedicated disaster management institutions**
 - **Adequacy of DRR considerations in disaster recovery strategies proposed under GFDRR supported PDNAs**

A CASE STUDY on PDNA Results Measurement

“SRFF Supported Recovery Assessments are Leading to Strengthened Recovery Preparedness in Post-Disaster Countries”

Case Study Purpose and Process:

- ❑ Employs a **systematic results-based performance measurement** approach,
- ❑ Carried out jointly by the Track III and GFDRR’s Results Management Team
- ❑ Captures initial results and Track III performance in respect of one key outcome indicator, i.e., ‘the extent to which GFDRR supported recovery assessments are leading to **strengthened recovery preparedness** in post-disaster countries’.
- ❑ Purpose of case study not merely to present results achieved, but more importantly to document and present the **proposed results evaluation approach** of SRFF is planning to institutionalize over the coming months

A CASE STUDY on PDNA Results Measurement

“SRFF Supported Recovery Assessments are Leading to Strengthened Recovery Preparedness in Post-Disaster Countries”

Case Study Results:

- ❑ Compared to FY 2009, a much higher percentage of post-disaster countries where GFDRR has carried out recovery assessments, are moving towards strengthening their recovery preparedness capacities.
- ❑ In almost 90% of GFDRR supported post-disaster countries in FY 2010, there is evidence to suggest that recovery assessments are leading to programs and projects for strengthened recovery preparedness, compared to 67% of such countries in FY 2009.
- ❑ This has resulted from:
 - a **heightened focus on recovery preparedness** in GFDRR supported PDNAs
 - GFDRR’s and the Bank’s **continued post-PDNA engagement and follow-up** in such countries towards the design and implementation of projects and programs for emergency preparedness.

Results Evaluation Sheet

Track III Intermediate Outcome Indicators


Sample Indicators:

(A) % Post Disaster countries where GFDRR supported recovery led to strengthened recovery preparedness : **Longer term country outcome, which will require country assessment**

(B) % Post Disaster countries where GFDRR supported recovery assessments led to programs/projects for strengthened recovery preparedness: **GFDRR level intermediate outcome**

Beneficiary	GFDRR Contribution/Portfolio/Inputs			Results		Targets		
	Inputs/ GFDRR Activities	Outputs	Means adopted for converting outputs into outcomes	Baseline Situation (2008 PDNAs)	Current Situation (2009 PDNAs)	Targets for Impact-B		
						2010 PDNAs	2011 PDNAs	2012 PDNAs
GFDRR Supported Post Disaster Countries		Longer term and Sustainable Strengthening of Recovery Preparedness Incorporated in PDNA Recovery Framework	GFDRR's continued engagement on preparedness beyond PDNA; Govt/donor projects and programs for preparedness	Post Disaster Countries with Completed and ongoing programs on strengthening preparedness	Post Disaster Countries with Completed and ongoing programs on strengthening preparedness			
Bangladesh 2008		Y	Y	Y	Y			
Bolivia 2008		Y	N	N	N			
Haiti 2008		Y	Y	Y	Y			
Yemen 2008		Y	Y	Y	Y			
Madagascar 2008		Y	Y	Y	Y			
Myanmar 2008		Y	N	N	N			
Namibia 2009		Y	N		N			
Bhutan 2009		Y	Y		Y			
El Salvador 2009		Y	Y		Y			
Central African Republic 2009		Y	Y		Y			
Phillipines 2009		Y	Y		Y			
Lao PDR 2009		Y	Y		Y			
Senegal 2009		Y	Y		Y			
Indonesia 2010		Y	Y		Y			
Cambodia 2010		Y	Y		Y			
Burkina Faso 2009		Y	Y		Y			
Samoa 2010		Y	Y					
Haiti 2010		Y	Y					
Malawi 2010		Y	Y					
				4	9			
			Yearly	67%	90%	100%	100%	100%

Immediate Next Steps for the Operationalization of the RF



Overall Plan of Action

CG's Go-ahead for operationalization of Results Framework

October 07, 2010

**Incorporation of CG & RMC Observations into RF
Communication of Final RF to CG and RMC**

End October, 2010

**Engaging a specialist M&E firm to help with the
development and operationalization of the new system**

Within 1 month

Development of the Results Monitoring System

Within 2-3 months

Full Operationalization of the Results Monitoring System

Within 6 months

**Development and Operationalization of Country Results
Frameworks**

6-12 months

Initial Results of Results Approach

- GFDRR's increased results focus influencing its business model:
 - Sustained **Post-PDNA engagement** on recovery support;
 - Policy Dialogue & Partnership building on **DRR mainstreaming** beyond upstream reviews of CPS's/PRSPs
 - **Post-training country capacity** building on PDNAs & Risk Assessments
- Such deepening and consolidating of GFDRR impact will require **greater investment** in GFDRR's in-country resources and sustained presence
- Periodic and annual **Results Reporting** to CG/RMC:
 - monitoring reports based on 17 intermediate outcomes
 - evaluation reports based on 13 program outcomes
- Consultative Process with donors on Results **beginning** not ending here; seek CG's and RMC's continued **guidance and advice** as we go ahead



GFDRR

Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery

9th Meeting of the Consultative Group, Oct. 4-7, 2010

Thank you

Contact Ayaz Parvez aparvez@worldbank.org for more information



Strategic Roadmap for Operationalization of Proposed GFDRR Results Framework

Process Stages →

